arXiv:1408.5228v1 [math.AP] 22 Aug 2014

Measure solutions for the Smoluchowski coagulation-diffusion equation

James Norris [1]

August 25, 2014

Abstract

A notion of measure solution is formulated for a coagulation-diffusion equation, which is the natural counterpart of Smoluchowski's coagulation equation in a spatially inhomogeneous setting. Some general properties of such solutions are established. Sufficient conditions are identified on the diffusivity, coagulation rates and initial data for existence, uniqueness and mass conservation of solutions. These conditions impose no form of monotonicity on the coagulation kernel, which may depend on complex characteristics of the particles. They also allow singular behaviour in both diffusivity and coagulation rates for small particles. The general results apply to the Einstein–Smoluchowski model for colloidal particles suspended in a fluid.

1 Introduction

In a system of particles, subject both to diffusion and coagulation, we may think of each particle as characterized by a position $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a type y in some auxiliary space E. The type of a particle might simply be its mass, in which case we would take $E = (0, \infty)$. In any case, we may suppose that the type of a particle governs both the diffusivity of its position and its tendency to coagulate with other particles. We will consider deterministic models, where the state at a given time t is a measure μ_t on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E$ describing the distribution of these characteristics of particles in the system. Our aim is to show, subject to reasonable conditions on the initial state and on the diffusion and coagulation rates, that a natural differential equation in measures, generalizing Smoluchowski's coagulation equation, has a unique solution, and to show a few properties of solutions, in particular conservation of mass. The main novelty is our consideration of measure-valued solutions, where prior work has dealt with function-valued solutions, and in allowing the possibility that coagulation rates may depend on complex characteristics of the particles. We are also able to handle some cases of unbounded diffusivity and unbounded coagulation rates for small particles, to which existing works do not apply, but which have some plausible physical relevance.

[1]Statistical Laboratory, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge, CB3 0WB, UK

In the rest of this section, we introduce the needed mathematical framework and we set out our assumptions on the rates for coagulation and diffusion. The notion of measure solution is defined in Section 2 and some consequent properties are proved. We prove in particular a new result showing that the property that solutions conserve total mass is independent of the notion of mass, meaning any quantity preserved in individual coagulation events. Section 3 reviews some facts on weak solutions of the heat equation in the context of multi-type diffusion. Some alternative notions of measure solution are discussed in Section 4. Related prior work on coagulation-diffusion is discussed in Section 5. The main result is Theorem 6.1, which gives conditions for existence, uniqueness and mass conservation of measure solutions. Then Theorem 6.4 shows that our measure solutions in fact give rise to function-valued solutions for suitable initial data. Finally, Section 7 discusses an application to one case of physical interest.

Let $(E, \mathcal{E})$ be a measurable space on which are given measurable functions $m : E \to (0, \infty)$ and $a : E \to (0, \infty)$, and let K be a finite kernel on $E \times E \times \mathcal{E}$. Thus, $K(., ., A)$ is a finite non-negative measurable function on $E \times E$, for all $A \in \mathcal{E}$, and $K(y, y', .)$ is a measure on $\mathcal{E}$ for all $y, y' \in E$. We assume that K is symmetric in its first and second arguments. We think of E as a set of *particle types* and interpret $m(y)$ as the *mass* and $a(y)$ as the *diffusivity* of a particle of type y. We interpret $K(y, y', dz)$ as the *coagulation rate* for the event that a pair of particles of types y, y' combines to become a particle of type z. We assume that K is *mass-preserving*

$$m = m(y) + m(y'), \quad K(y, y', \cdot)\text{-a.e.}$$

With few exceptions, existing work on coagulation is devoted to the case $E = (0, \infty)$ with $m(y) = y$. In this case every mass-preserving kernel has the form $k(y, y')\delta_{y+y'}(dz)$ for some symmetric measurable function k on $E \times E$, where δ_y is the unit mass at y. We choose a more general framework to model physical processes where coagulation rates do not depend only on particle masses.

Write $\mathcal{M}$ for the set of finite measures μ on $(\mathbb{R}^d \times E, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathcal{E})$ whose first marginal $B \mapsto \mu(B \times E)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$. We use $\mathcal{M}$ as the state-space for our dynamics, interpreting $\mu(B \times A)$ as the number of particles having position in B and type in A. We assume throughout that $(E, \mathcal{E})$ is a standard measurable space. This is not significantly restrictive for potential applications. It ensures that, for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists a kernel κ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{E}$, such that

$$\mu(B \times A) = \int_{x \in B} \kappa(x, A) dx, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad A \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Moreover, if κ and κ' are both kernels for μ, then the measures $\kappa(x, .)$ and $\kappa'(x, .)$ agree for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We will abuse notation in writing $\mu(x, A)$ for $\kappa(x, A)$ where the choice of version is unimportant.

For suitable $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$, we can determine a signed measure $K(\mu)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E$ by

$$K(\mu)(B \times A) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B \times E \times E \times E} \{1_A(z) - 1_A(y) - 1_A(y')\} K(y, y', dz) \mu(x, dy) \mu(x, dy') dx. \quad (1)$$

The signed measure $K(\mu)$ will describe the rate of change in the state μ due to coagulation.

Let us say that $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ is a *process* in $\mathcal{M}$ if $\mu_t \in \mathcal{M}$ for all t and the map $t \mapsto \mu_t(B \times A) : [0, T) \to [0, \infty)$ is measurable for all $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $A \in \mathcal{E}$. We will find conditions under which the equation

$$\dot{\mu}_t = \tfrac{1}{2} a \Delta \mu_t + K(\mu_t) \quad (2)$$

suitably interpreted, determines, for some $T \in (0, \infty]$, a unique process $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ starting from a given initial measure $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{M}$. Here, Δ denotes the usual Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ has the interpretation of an evolving cloud of particles in $\mathbb{R}^d$, of various types, where a particle of type y diffuses in $\mathbb{R}^d$ at rate $a(y)$, and where two particles at the same spatial location, of types y and y', coagulate to form a particle of type z at rate $K(y, y', dz)$. The class of measures $\mathcal{M}$ is a natural one for this problem. In particular, the need to form the product $\mu_t(x, dy)\mu_t(x, dy')$ in $K(\mu_t)$ does not allow us to write an analogous equation for general measures on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E$.

We now reformulate the equation (2) so that it makes sense for any process $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ in $\mathcal{M}$. First, for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$, we can determine measures $K^{\pm}(\mu)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E$ by

$$K^+(\mu)(B \times A) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B \times E \times E} K(y, y', A) \mu(x, dy) \mu(x, dy') dx \quad (3)$$

$$K^-(\mu)(B \times A) = \int_{B \times A \times E} K(y, y', E) \mu(x, dy) \mu(x, dy') dx. \quad (4)$$

Provided these measures are finite, we have $K^{\pm}(\mu) \in \mathcal{M}$ and $K(\mu) = K^+(\mu) - K^-(\mu)$. Next, for $t > 0$, define $P_t\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ by

$$P_t\mu(B \times A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times E} \mu(dx, dy) p(a(y)t, x, x') 1_B(x') dx'$$

where $p(t, x, x') = (2\pi t)^{-d/2} \exp\{-|x - x'|^2/2t\}$. Then (2) is formally equivalent to the following equation in measures on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E$

$$\mu_t + \int_0^t P_{t-s} K^-(\mu_s) ds = P_t\mu_0 + \int_0^t P_{t-s} K^+(\mu_s) ds, \quad t \in (0, T).$$

By writing in this mild form and by rearranging the non-linear terms, we do not need any assumptions of regularity or integrability to make sense of the equation.

We now introduce our main assumptions on the diffusivity a and the coagulation kernel K. First, here is some terminology. Say that a function f on E is *locally bounded* if it is bounded on $m^{-1}(B)$ for all compact sets $B \subseteq (0,\infty)$. Say that f is K-*decreasing* if, for all $y, y' \in E$, we have $f \leq f(y)$, $K(y,y',.)$-a.e. Say that f is K-*subadditive* if, for all $y, y' \in E$, we have $f \leq f(y) + f(y')$, $K(y,y',.)$-a.e. When $E = (0,\infty)$ and $m(y) = y$, these notions coincide with the usual notions of locally-bounded, non-increasing and subadditive function on $(0,\infty)$. We choose a continuous function $\phi : (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ such that $\phi(\lambda m) \leqslant \lambda\phi(m)$ for all $\lambda \geqslant 1$ and all $m \in (0,\infty)$. Set $w(y) = a(y)^{d/2}\phi(m(y))$. We will assume throughout:

$$\begin{aligned} &a \text{ is locally bounded and } K\text{-decreasing}, \quad a^{-1} \text{ is locally bounded}, \\ &w \text{ is uniformly positive}, \quad K(y,y',E) \leq w(y)w(y'), \quad y, y' \in E. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The assumption that diffusivity decreases on coagulation is physically reasonable. The upper bound on K will dictate our choice of ϕ and then the sublinearity condition on ϕ will restrict us to cases where coagulation rates do not increase too rapidly with increasing particle mass.

2 Solutions and their properties

Let $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ be a process in $\mathcal{M}$. We say that $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ is a *solution*[2] to the coagulation-diffusion equation (2) if

$$\mu_t + \int_0^t P_{t-s}K^-(\mu_s)ds = P_t\mu_0 + \int_0^t P_{t-s}K^+(\mu_s)ds, \quad t \in (0,T) \tag{6}$$

and the following integrability conditions hold:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times E} w(y)\mu_0(dx,dy) < \infty, \quad \mu_0(dx,dy) \leqslant dx \otimes \mu_0^*(dy) \tag{7}$$

for some measure μ_0^* on E for which w is integrable, and

$$\int_0^t \int_E w^R(y)P_{t-s}K^+(\mu_s)(x,dy)ds < \infty, \quad \text{a.a. } x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad R \in (0,\infty), \quad t < T \tag{8}$$

where

$$\phi^R(m) = m1_{m \leq R}, \quad w^R(y) = a(y)^{d/2}\phi^R(m(y)).$$

The final condition (8) is needed to make (6) informative and so offer a chance of proving uniqueness. Without (8), equation (6) might just say '$\infty = \infty$'. A simpler but stronger condition is to require that that left side of (8) is integrable over $\mathbb{R}^d$, which can be written

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times E \times E \times E} w(z)1_{\{m(z) \leqslant R\}}K(y,y',dz)\mu_s(x,dy)\mu_s(x,dy')dxds < \infty, \quad R \in (0,\infty), \quad t < T.$$

[2]This would often be called a mild solution.

Here we used Fubini and integrated out the density function $p(a(y)t, x, x')$.

We say that $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ is a *strong solution*[3] if (6) and (7) hold, together with

$$\sup_{s\leq t} \|\langle w, \mu_s\rangle\|_1 < \infty, \quad t < T, \tag{9}$$

and

$$\int_0^t \|\langle w^2, \mu_s\rangle\|_\infty ds < \infty, \quad t < T. \tag{10}$$

Here $\langle w, \mu_s\rangle$ is the measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ obtained by integrating w with respect to the kernel $\mu_s(x, .)$ over E, and $\|.\|_p$ is the L^p-norm on $\mathbb{R}^d$. We will see shortly that these conditions imply (8), so a strong solution is indeed a solution.

Note that, for all $t > 0$ and $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the function

$$w^{t,x,x'}(y) = w(y)p(a(y)t, x, x') = \phi(m(y))(2\pi t)^{-d/2} e^{-|x-x'|^2/2a(y)t}$$

is K-subadditive[4] and, for any measure $\mu \in \mathcal{E}$, the following integral is well-defined and non-positive:

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times E\times E\times E} \{w^{t,x,x'}(z) - w^{t,x,x'}(y) - w^{t,x,x'}(y')\} K(y, y', dz)\mu(x', dy)\mu(x', dy')dx'. \tag{11}$$

We will denote this integral by $\langle w, P_t K(\mu)\rangle(x)$, noting that

$$\langle w, P_t K(\mu)\rangle = \langle w, P_t K^+(\mu)\rangle - \langle w, P_t K^-(\mu)\rangle$$

whenever the first term on the right is finite.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ is a solution to (2). Then, for all $t < T$, we have*

$$\langle w, \mu_t\rangle \leq \langle w, P_t\mu_0\rangle + \int_0^t \langle w, P_{t-s}K(\mu_s)\rangle ds \quad a.e. \tag{12}$$

and hence

$$\|\langle w, \mu_t\rangle\|_1 \leqslant \|\langle w, \mu_0\rangle\|_1 < \infty, \quad \|\langle w, \mu_t\rangle\|_\infty \leqslant \langle w, \mu_0^*\rangle < \infty.$$

In particular, (9) holds and

$$\sup_{s\leq t<T} \|\langle 1, P_{t-s}K^+(\mu_s)\rangle\|_1 \ < \infty. \tag{13}$$

[3]This terminology is non-standard – 'strong' here refers mainly to the finite-second-moment-type condition (10), not to any additional smoothness.

[4]Here we strongly use the explicit Gaussian form of the transition density, which appears to rule out an extension of our approach to spatially dependent diffusion. On the other hand, a similar inequality does hold for Brownian motion on the torus, by replacing x' by $x' + n$ and summing over $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Proof. Define $w_n(y) = a(y)^{d/2}\phi_n(m(y))$, where ϕ_n is the sublinear function

$$\phi_n(m) = (m1_{m\leq n^{-1}})n\phi(n^{-1}) + 1_{n^{-1}<m\leq n}\phi(m).$$

Then $w_n \leqslant w^n$ and $w_n \uparrow w$ on E as $n \to \infty$. By (8) we know that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, all $t < T$, we have

$$\int_0^t \langle w_n, P_{t-s}K^+(\mu_s)\rangle ds < \infty \quad \text{a.e.}$$

so we can multiply (6) by w_n, integrate over E and rearrange to obtain

$$\langle w_n, \mu_t\rangle = \langle w_n, P_t\mu_0\rangle + \int_0^t \langle w_n, P_{t-s}K(\mu_s)\rangle ds \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Now write $\langle w_n, P_{t-s}K(\mu_s)\rangle$ as an integral over $\mathbb{R}^d \times E \times E \times E$ as in (11) and pass to the limit using Fatou's lemma to obtain (12). From (12) we deduce that $\langle w, \mu_t\rangle \leq \langle w, P_t\mu_0\rangle$ almost everywhere, so $\|\langle w, \mu_t\rangle\|_1 \leq \|\langle w, P_t\mu_0\rangle\|_1 = \|\langle w, \mu_0\rangle\|_1 < \infty$ and $\|\langle w, \mu_t\rangle\|_\infty \leq \|\langle w, P_t\mu_0\rangle\|_\infty \leqslant \langle w, \mu_0^*\rangle < \infty$ for all $t < T$. Then (13) follows from

$$\begin{aligned}\|\langle 1,&P_{t-s}K^+(\mu_s)\rangle\|_1 = \|\langle 1, K^+(\mu_s)\rangle\|_1 = \frac{1}{2}\|\langle 1, K^-(\mu_s)\rangle\|_1 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times E\times E} K(y, y', E)\mu_s(x, dy)\mu_s(x, dy')\, dx \\ &\leq \|\langle w, \mu_s\rangle^2\|_1 \leq \|\langle w, P_s\mu_0\rangle^2\|_1 \leqslant \|\langle w, \mu_0\rangle\|_1\langle w, \mu_0^*\rangle < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ is a strong solution to (2). Then, for all $t < T$, we have*

$$\int_0^t \|\langle w, P_{t-s}K^+(\mu_s)\rangle\|_1 ds < \infty \tag{14}$$

so (8) holds and

$$\langle w, \mu_t\rangle = \langle w, P_t\mu_0\rangle + \int_0^t \langle w, P_{t-s}K(\mu_s)\rangle ds \quad \textit{a.e.} \tag{15}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\langle w, P_{t-s}K^+(\mu_s)\rangle\|_1 &\leq \|\langle w, P_{t-s}K^-(\mu_s)\rangle\|_1 = \|\langle w, K^-(\mu_s)\rangle\|_1 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times E\times E} w(y)K(y, y', E)\mu_s(x, dy)\mu_s(x, dy')dx \\ &\leq \|\langle w, \mu_s\rangle\langle w^2, \mu_s\rangle\|_1 \leq \|\langle w, \mu_s\rangle\|_1 \, \|\langle w^2, \mu_s\rangle\|_\infty.\end{aligned}$$

So (14) follows from (9) and (10).

Now (8) holds because, for all $R < \infty$, for some $\varepsilon > 0$, $\varepsilon m 1_{m \leq R} \leq \phi(m)$ for all $m \in (0, \infty)$. On multiplying (6) by w and integrating over E, all terms are integrable over $\mathbb{R}^d$, hence finite almost everywhere. On rearranging we obtain (15). □

Proposition 2.3. *Let $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ be a solution to (2). Then $\|\langle m, \mu_t\rangle\|_1$ is non-increasing in t.*

Proof. Fix $R < \infty$. Multiply (6) by $m1_{m\leq R}$ and integrate over $\mathbb{R}^d \times E$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\langle m1_{m\leq R}, \mu_t\rangle\|_1 + \int_0^t \|\langle m1_{m\leq R},\ K^-(\mu_s)\rangle\|_1\, ds \\ = \|\langle m1_{m\leq R},\, \mu_0\rangle\|_1 + \int_0^t \|\langle m1_{m\leq R}, K^+(\mu_s)\rangle\|_1\, ds,\end{aligned}$$

with all terms finite by Proposition 2.1. Since $m1_{m\leq R}$ is K-subadditive,

$$\langle m1_{m\leqslant R}, K^+(\mu_s)\rangle \leqslant \langle m1_{m\leqslant R}, K^-(\mu_s)\rangle$$

so

$$\|\langle m1_{m\leq R},\ \mu_t\rangle\|_1 \leq \|\langle m1_{m\leq R}, \mu_0\rangle\|_1,$$

and the claim follows by monotone convergence. □

Let us call a measurable function $n : E \to (0, \infty)$ a *mass function for K* if

$$n = n(y) + n(y'), \quad K(y, y', \cdot)\text{-a.e.}, \quad y, y' \in E.$$

In particular, m is a mass function, and has been given a special role in the discussion above. However, it is possible that K may have more than one conserved quantity. For example, the type of a particle may determine the number of initial particles present, which is then a mass function for K. The proof just given shows that $\|\langle n, \mu_t\rangle\|_1$ is non-increasing in t for any mass function n. Let us say that a solution $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ to (2) *conservative* if $\|\langle m, \mu_t\rangle\|_1 = \|\langle m, \mu_0\rangle\|_1 < \infty$ for all $t < T$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ be a solution to (2) with $\|\langle m, \mu_0\rangle\|_1 < \infty$. Let n be a mass function for K with $\|\langle n, \mu_0\rangle\|_1 < \infty$. Then $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ is conservative if and only if it is n-*conservative*, that is to say if $\|\langle n, \mu_t\rangle\|_1 = \|\langle n, \mu_0\rangle\|_1$ for all $t < T$.*

Proof. Suppose that $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ is conservative and that f is a non-negative measurable function on E such that $f \leqslant m$ and

$$f \geqslant f(y) + f(y'), \quad K(y, y', \cdot)\text{-a.e.}, \quad y, y' \in E.$$

Fix $R \in [0,\infty)$ and set $m_R(y) = m(y)1_{m(y)\leqslant R}$ and $f_R(y) = f(y)1_{m(y)\leqslant R}$. Write $\{f\}(y,y',z)$ for $f(y)+f(y')-f(z)$. It is straightforward to check that $\{f_R\}(y,y',z) \leqslant \{m_R\}(y,y',z)$ for $K(y,y',.)$-almost all z, for all y,y'. Moreover m_R and f_R are both bounded, so

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\langle f_R,\mu_0\rangle\|_1 - \|\langle f_R,\mu_t\rangle\|_1 \\
&\quad= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times E\times E\times E} \{f_R\}(y,y',z)K(y,y',dz)\mu_s(x,dy)\mu_s(x,dy')dxds \\
&\quad\leqslant \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times E\times E\times E} \{m_R\}(y,y',z)K(y,y',dz)\mu_s(x,dy)\mu_s(x,dy')dxds \\
&\quad= \|\langle m_R,\mu_0\rangle\|_1 - \|\langle m_R,\mu_t\rangle\|_1.
\end{aligned}$$

On letting $R\to\infty$, we see that $\|\langle f,\mu_t\rangle\|_1 \geqslant \|\langle f,\mu_0\rangle\|_1$.

For each $N\in\mathbb{N}$, the preceding argument may be applied with $f=(n/N)\wedge m$ to show that $\|\langle n\wedge(Nm),\mu_t\rangle\|_1 \geqslant \|\langle n\wedge(Nm),\mu_0\rangle\|_1$. Since m is positive, we obtain $\|\langle n,\mu_t\rangle\|_1 \geqslant \|\langle n,\mu_0\rangle\|_1$ on letting $N\to\infty$. Hence $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ is n-conservative. The same argument shows that n-conservativity implies conservativity. □

3 Heat flow with types

We discuss briefly the propagators associated to the time-dependent and type-dependent differential operator on $\mathbb{R}^d$

$$\mathcal{L}_t = \tfrac{1}{2}a(y)\Delta + g_t(.,y).$$

Here, for simplicity, we will assume that the diffusivity a is measurable and satisfies

$$\inf_{y\in E} a(y) > 0, \quad \sup_{y\in E} a(y) < \infty \tag{16}$$

and that $g=(g_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is a process of measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}^d\times E$ such that

$$\|g\|_\infty := \sup_{t\geqslant 0,\, y\in E} \|g_t(.,y)\|_\infty < \infty. \tag{17}$$

A generalization to the case where these conditions hold on E_n for some measurable sets $E_n\uparrow E$ is obvious. The lines of the discussion are standard, but it will serve to introduce notation and to check its applicability in the time-dependent and type-dependent case. For $0\leqslant s<t$, for $x,x'\in\mathbb{R}^d$ and for $a\in(0,\infty)$, write $\beta_a^{s,x;t,x'}$ for the Borel measure on the set of continuous paths $C([s,t],\mathbb{R}^d)$ which is the law of a Brownian bridge of diffusivity a, starting from x at time s and ending at x' at time t. Note that

$$\beta_a^{s,x;t,x'} = \beta_1^{0,0;1,0}\circ\phi^{-1} \tag{18}$$

where $\phi : C([0,1],\mathbb{R}^d) \to C([s,t],\mathbb{R}^d)$ is given by

$$\phi(w)((1-\tau)s+\tau t) = x + \sqrt{a}w(\tau) + \tau(y-x), \quad 0 \leqslant \tau \leqslant 1.$$

Define

$$p_y(s,x;t,x') = p(a(y)(t-s),x,x')\pi_y(s,x;t,x')$$

where

$$\pi_y(s,x;t,x') = \int_{C([s,t],\mathbb{R}^d)} \exp\left\{\int_s^t g_\tau(w_\tau,y)d\tau\right\}\beta_{a(y)}^{s,x;t,x'}(dw).$$

Note that $\pi_y(s,x;t,x') \leqslant e^{(t-s)\|g\|_\infty}$. The function p is jointly measurable in all variables. This can be seen using (18). Define the propagators $(P_{st} : 0 \leqslant s < t)$ on bounded measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E$ and $(P_{ts} : 0 \leqslant s < t)$ on signed measures on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E$ of finite total variation by

$$P_{st}f(x,y) = \int_{x'\in\mathbb{R}^d} p_y(s,x;t,x')f(x',y)dx', \quad P_{ts}\mu(dx',dy) = \int_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \mu(dx,dy)p_y(s,x;t,x')dx'.$$

It will be convenient to agree also that $P_{ss}f = f$ and $P_{ss}\mu = \mu$ for all s. Write (f,μ) for the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times E} f(x,y)\mu(dx,dy)$. By Fubini, we have $(P_{st}f,\mu) = (f,P_{ts}\mu)$ for all f and μ. By the Markov property of Brownian motion, we have $P_{st}\circ P_{tu} = P_{su}$ for $0 \leqslant s < t < u$. Also, $P_{st}f(x,y) = \mathbb{E}(f(B_t,y)Z_t)$, where $(B_t)_{t\geqslant s}$ is a Brownian motion in $\mathbb{R}^d$ of diffusivity $a(y)$, starting from x at time s, and where $Z_t = \exp\int_s^t g_\tau(B_\tau,y)d\tau$. Write $\mathcal{F}$ for the set of all bounded measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E$ which are twice continuously differentiable along $\mathbb{R}^d$ with bounded first and second derivatives. For $f \in \mathcal{F}$, by Itô's formula,

$$d(f(B_t,y)Z_t) = \nabla f(B_t,y)Z_t dB_t + \left(\tfrac{1}{2}a(y)\Delta f(B_t,y) + g_t(B_t,y)f(B_t,y)\right)Z_t dt$$

so we obtain, on taking expectations,

$$P_{st}f(x,y) = f(x,y) + \int_s^t P_{s\tau}\mathcal{L}_\tau f(x,y)d\tau. \tag{19}$$

We will write $\|\mu\|_1$ for the total variation of a signed measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E$.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that a satisfies* (16) *and g satisfies* (17). *Let μ_0 be a signed measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E$ and let $(\alpha_t)_{t\leqslant T}$ be a process of such signed measures. Assume that*

$$\|\mu_0\|_1 + \int_0^T \|\alpha_t\|_1 dt < \infty \tag{20}$$

Define a process of signed measures on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E$ by

$$\mu_t = P_{t0}\mu_0 + \int_0^t P_{ts}\alpha_s ds, \quad t \leqslant T. \tag{21}$$

Then

$$\sup_{t \leqslant T} \|\mu_t\|_1 < \infty \tag{22}$$

and

$$(f, \mu_t) = (f, \mu_0) + \int_0^t (\mathcal{L}_s f, \mu_s) ds + \int_0^t (f, \alpha_s) ds, \quad f \in \mathcal{F}, \quad t \leqslant T. \tag{23}$$

On the other hand, in the case $g = 0$, $(\mu_t)_{t \leqslant T}$ is the only process of signed measures on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E$ satisfying (22) such that (23) holds. Hence $(\mu_t)_{t \leqslant T}$ satisfies

$$\mu_t = P_t\mu_0 + \int_0^t P_{t-s}(g_s\mu_s + \alpha_s) ds, \quad t \leqslant T.$$

Proof. Suppose that $(\mu_t)_{t \leqslant T}$ is given by (21). Then, for $t \leqslant T$,

$$\|\mu_t\|_1 \leqslant e^{t\|g\|_\infty} \|\mu_0\|_1 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\|g\|_\infty} \|\alpha_s\|_1 ds \leqslant e^{T\|g\|_\infty} \left(\|\mu_0\|_1 + \int_0^T \|\alpha_s\|_1 ds \right)$$

so (22) holds. Multiply (21) by $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and integrate over $\mathbb{R}^d \times E$ to obtain

$$(f, \mu_t) = (f, P_{t0}\mu_0) + \int_0^t (f, P_{ts}\alpha_s) ds = (P_{0t}f, \mu_0) + \int_0^t (P_{st}f, \alpha_s) ds. \tag{24}$$

Now substitute for $P_{0t}f$ and $P_{st}f$ using (19) and reorder integrals using Fubini to obtain (23).

Suppose on the other hand that $(\mu_t)_{t \leqslant T}$ satisfies (22) and (23). Define, for $t \leqslant T$,

$$\nu_t = \mu_t - P_{t0}\mu_0 - \int_0^t P_{ts}\alpha_s ds.$$

Then, for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$(f, \nu_t) = (f, \mu_t) - (P_{0t}f, \mu_0) - \int_0^t (P_{st}f, \alpha_s) ds.$$

Assume[5] now that $g = 0$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is stable under P_{st} and, for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$P_{st}f(x, y) = f(x, y) + \int_s^t \mathcal{L}_r P_{rt} f(x, y) dr. \tag{25}$$

[5]The argument can be pursued also under suitable regularity conditions on g, at the cost of further elaboration, so we will retain the more general notation.

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and set $\lfloor s \rfloor_n = (t/n)\lfloor ns/t \rfloor$ and $\lceil s \rceil_n = (t/n)\lceil ns/t \rceil$. Then

$$(f, \mu_t) - (P_{0t} f, \mu_0) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (P_{s_{k+1} t} f, \mu_{s_k + 1}) - (P_{s_k t} f, \mu_{s_k}).$$

We use (23) and (25) to rewrite each term in the sum. Hence we obtain

$$(f, \nu_t) = \int_0^t \{(\mathcal{L}_s (P_{\lceil s \rceil_n t} - P_{st}) f, \mu_s) + (\mathcal{L}_s P_{st} f, \mu_s - \mu_{\lfloor s \rfloor_n}) + ((P_{\lceil s \rceil_n t} - P_{st}) f, \alpha_s)\} ds.$$

Now let $n \to \infty$, using (22) for estimates. Restrict to test-functions f which are four times continuously differentiable, with all derivatives bounded uniformly on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E$. Then (23) holds with f replaced by $\mathcal{L}_s P_{st} f$, which allows us to estimate the second term on the right. We conclude that $(f, \nu_t) = 0$. Hence $\nu_t = 0$ for all $t \leqslant T$, so (21) holds.

For the final assertion, note that (23) can be written as

$$(f, \mu_t) = (f, \mu_0) + \int_0^t (\tfrac{1}{2} a(y) \Delta f, \mu_s) ds + \int_0^t (f, g_s \mu_s + \alpha_s) ds, \quad f \in \mathcal{F}, \quad t \leqslant T$$

and apply the uniqueness result for the case $g = 0$ with α_t replaced by $g_t \mu_t + \alpha_t$. □

4 Other notions of solution

We now discuss some alternative notions of solution for the coagulation-diffusion equation (2) and establish relations with the one already introduced. For the first two, we restrict the solution class for the process $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ by the condition

$$\sup_{s \leqslant t} (\|\langle w, \mu_s \rangle\|_1 + \|\langle w, \mu_s \rangle\|_\infty) < \infty, \quad t < T. \tag{26}$$

We showed in Proposition 2.1 that (26) is a natural property of solutions.

First we discuss a notion of weak solution. We take as our class of test-functions $\mathcal{F}$ the set of all bounded measurable functions $f : \mathbb{R}^d \times E \to \mathbb{R}$, supported on $\mathbb{R}^d \times m^{-1}(B)$, for some compact set $B \subseteq (0, \infty)$, and such that $f(., y)$ is twice continuously differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^d$ for all $y \in E$, with first and second derivatives bounded on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E$. Say that a process $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ is a *weak solution* to (2) if (26) holds and

$$(f, \mu_t) = (f, \mu_0) + \int_0^t (\tfrac{1}{2} a \Delta f, \mu_s) ds + \int_0^t (f, K(\mu_s)) ds, \quad f \in \mathcal{F}, \quad t < T. \tag{27}$$

Note that (26) ensures that $K(\mu_s)$ is a well-defined signed measure and indeed, since w is assumed to be uniformly positive, all integrals in (27) are well-defined and finite.

We turn to the second alternative notion of solution. Note that, for a process $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ in $\mathcal{M}$, we have $K^-(\mu_t) = c_t\mu_t$, where

$$c_t(x,y) = \int_E K(y,y',E)\mu_t(x,dy'), \quad t \in [0,T), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad y \in E.$$

Note also that $c_t(x,y) \leqslant w(y)\langle w, \mu_t\rangle(x)$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set $E_n = \{y \in E : n^{-1} \leqslant m(y) \leqslant n\}$. Under conditions (5) and (26), for all n, the diffusivity a is uniformly positive and bounded on E_n and

$$\sup_{s\leqslant t,\, y\in E_n} \|c_s(.,y)\|_\infty < \infty, \quad t < T.$$

Write P^μ for the propagators associated to the operator $\frac{1}{2}a(y)\Delta - c_t(.,y)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$, corresponding to the choice $g = -c$ in the preceding section. Say that $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ is a *Markov solution* of (2) if (26) holds and

$$\mu_t = P^\mu_{t0}\mu_0 + \int_0^t P^\mu_{ts}K^+(\mu_s)ds, \quad t \in (0,T). \tag{28}$$

We use the name Markov mainly to distinguish this notion of solution from others, but also because (28) is the forward equation for the distribution of the associated non-linear Markov process.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ be a process in $\mathcal{M}$ and assume that (26) holds. Then $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ is a solution to (2) if and only if it is a weak solution. Moreover, $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ is a solution to (2) whenever it is a Markov solution.*

Proof. For the first assertion, take $g_t = 0$ and $\alpha_t = K^+(\mu_t) - c_t\mu_t$ in Proposition 3.1. The second assertion is obtained similarly, by taking $g_t = -c_t$ and $\alpha_t = K^+(\mu_t)$. □

We now prove an *a priori* regularity property of solutions in the position variable. Write $\mathcal{K}$ for the set of kernels κ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{E}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \kappa(x,E)dx < \infty$. Given a kernel κ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{E}$ and given $t > 0$, define new kernels $K^\pm(\kappa)$ and $P_t\kappa$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{E}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
K^+(\kappa)(x,A) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{E\times E} K(y,y',A)\kappa(x,dy)\kappa(x,dy') \\
K^-(\kappa)(x,A) &= \int_{A\times E} K(y,y',E)\kappa(x,dy)\kappa(x,dy') \\
P_t\kappa(x,A) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times A} p(a(y)t,x,x')\kappa(x',dy)dx'.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that, if κ is a version of the density for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$, then $K^\pm(\kappa)$ and $P_t\kappa$ are versions of the densities for $K^\pm(\mu)$ and $P_t\mu$. Say that $(\kappa_t)_{t<T}$ is a process in $\mathcal{K}$ if $\kappa_t \in \mathcal{K}$ for all t and the map

$t \mapsto \kappa_t : [0,T) \to \mathcal{K}$ is measurable. Equivalently, the map $(t,x,A) \mapsto \kappa_t(x,A) : [0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{E}$ is a kernel such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \kappa_t(x,E)dx < \infty$ for all $t < T$.

We say that a process $(\kappa_t)_{t<T}$ in $\mathcal{K}$ is a *precise solution* to (2) if

$$\kappa_t + \int_0^t P_{t-s}K^-(\kappa_s)ds = P_t\kappa_0 + \int_0^t P_{t-s}K^+(\kappa_s)ds, \quad t \in (0,T) \tag{29}$$

and the following integrability conditions hold:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times E} w(y)\kappa_0(x,dy)dx < \infty, \quad \kappa_0(x,dy)dx \leqslant dx \otimes \mu_0^*(dy) \tag{30}$$

and

$$\int_0^t \int_E w^R(y)P_{t-s}K^+(\kappa_s)(x,dy)ds < \infty, \quad \text{a.a. } x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad R \in (0,\infty), \quad t \in (0,T) \tag{31}$$

where μ_0^* and w^R are as in (7) and (8). Note that (29) is an equality of kernels, not measures, and that no exceptional sets in $\mathbb{R}^d$ are allowed. It is clear that, if $(\kappa_t)_{t<T}$ is a precise solution to (2), then there is a unique solution $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ to (2) such that

$$\mu_t(B \times A) = \int_{x \in B} \kappa_t(x,A)dx, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad A \in \mathcal{E}. \tag{32}$$

Proposition 4.2. *Let $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ be a solution to (2). Choose a kernel κ_0 for μ_0. Then there is a unique*[6] *precise solution $(\kappa_t)_{t<T}$ to (2) starting from κ_0 such that (32) holds.*

Proof. Define, for $t \in (0,T)$, kernels κ_t^0 and $\kappa_t^\pm$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{E}$ by

$$\kappa_t^0(x,A) = (P_t\mu_0)(x,A), \quad \kappa_t^\pm(x,A) = \int_0^t (P_{t-s}K^\pm(\mu_s))(x,A)ds.$$

Fix $A \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $a(y) \in [a_1,a_2]$ for all $y \in A$, for some $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \infty$. Then

$$p(a(y)t,x,x') \leqslant (a_2/a_1)^{d/2}p(a_2t,x,x')$$

for all $y \in A$. We use this inequality, together with the bound $\|\langle w,\mu_t\rangle\|_\infty \leqslant \langle w,\mu_0^*\rangle$ from Proposition 2.1, to see that, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_t^+(x,A) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times E \times E \times E} 1_A(z)p((t-s)a(z),x,x')K(y,y',dz)\mu_s(x',dy)\mu_s(x',dy')dx'ds \\ &\leqslant (a_2/a_1)^{d/2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(a_2(t-s),x,x')\langle w,\mu_s\rangle^2(x')dx'ds \leqslant (a_2/a_1)^{d/2}\langle w,\mu_0^*\rangle^2 t.\end{aligned}$$

[6] In fact, for $t \in (0,T)$, κ_t does not depend on the choice of κ_0.

Similar estimates show that $\kappa_t^0(.,A)$ and $\kappa_t^-(.,A)$ are also bounded on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Next, by differentiating under the integral sign and estimating similarly, we see that $\kappa_t^0(.,A)$ and $\kappa_t^\pm(.,A)$ are moreover Lipschitz on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Recall that $E_n = \{y \in E : n^{-1} \leqslant m(y) \leqslant n\}$. Then a and a^{-1} are bounded on E_n. Define, for $t \in (0,T)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, signed kernels κ_t^n on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{E}$ by

$$\kappa_t^n(x,A) = \kappa_t^0(x, A \cap E_n) + \kappa_t^+(x, A \cap E_n) - \kappa_t^-(x, A \cap E_n). \tag{33}$$

Then $\kappa_t^n(.,A)$ is bounded and Lipschitz on $\mathbb{R}^d$ for all $A \in \mathcal{E}$ and, since $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ is a solution, we have

$$\mu_t(B \times (A \cap E_n)) = \int_{x \in B} \kappa_t^n(x,A)dx, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Hence $0 \leqslant \kappa_t^n(x,A) \leqslant \kappa_t^{n+1}(x,A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\langle w, \kappa_t^n \rangle(x) \leqslant \langle w, \mu_0^* \rangle$ for all x. Hence we can define $\kappa_t \in \mathcal{K}$ for $t \in (0,T)$ by

$$\kappa_t(x,A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \kappa_t^n(x,A)$$

and then κ_t is a density for μ_t for all t. Now, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $A \in \mathcal{E}$, we have, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_t^0(x, A \cap E_n) &= P_t\kappa_0(x, A \cap E_n) \to P_t\kappa_0(x,A) \\
\kappa_t^\pm(x, A \cap E_n) &= \int_0^t (P_{t-s}K^\pm(\kappa_s))(x, A \cap E_n)ds \to \int_0^t (P_{t-s}K^\pm(\kappa_s))(x,A)ds.
\end{aligned}$$

So, on rearranging (33) and letting $n \to \infty$, we see that $(\kappa_t)_{t<T}$ is a precise solution to (2). Finally, if $(\kappa_t')_{t<T}$ is any precise solution to (2) which is a density for $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$, then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $A \in \mathcal{E}$ with $A \subseteq E_n$, the map $x \mapsto \kappa_t'(x,A)$ is Lipschitz on $\mathbb{R}^d$, by the argument above, so $\kappa_t'(x,A) = \kappa_t(x,A)$ for all x. Hence $(\kappa_t)_{t<T}$ is unique. □

5 Related work

Prior work has considered function solutions, either in the discrete case, where $\mu_t(x,dy) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty f_t^m(x)\delta_m(dy)$, or the continuous case, when $\mu_t(x,dy) = f_t(x,y)dy$. On the question of existence in the discrete case, see [5, 10, 17, 18, 19]. We will restrict our review on existence to works addressing the continuous case. Amann [1] proved local existence, uniqueness and mass conservation in a general setting, assuming uniform bounds on diffusivity and coagulation rates and uniform positivity of the diffusivity. Later, Amann and Walker [2], proved global existence for small initial data under similar hypotheses. Laurençot and Mischler [9] proved global existence when the diffusivity $a : (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ and its reciprocal are bounded

on compacts and the coagulation kernel $k : (0,\infty)^2 \to [0,\infty)$ satisfies the Galkin–Tupchiev monotonicity condition

$$k(y,y') \leqslant k(y,y+y'), \quad y,y' \in (0,\infty) \tag{34}$$

along with the growth bounds

$$\sup_{y,y' \leqslant R} k(y,y') < \infty, \quad \sup_{y \leqslant R} \frac{k(y,y')}{y'} \to 0 \quad \text{as } y' \to \infty, \quad \text{for all } R. \tag{35}$$

Both [1] and [9] include a term modelling particle fragmentation, while [1] allows also for spatially dependent diffusion, and [2] allows for a further particle-shattering transition. None of these is possible in our model. See also Bailleul [3] for an interesting special case of coagulation with spatially dependent diffusion. Mischler and Rodriguez Ricard [11] showed that the approach of [9] can be extended (in the context of coagulation-diffusion in a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^3$) to the case where (34) and (35) are replaced by the weaker monotonicity condition

$$k(y,y') \leqslant k(y,y+y') + k(y',y+y'), \quad y,y' \in (0,\infty)$$

and growth bounds

$$\sup_{y,y' \in [R^{-1},R]} k(y,y') < \infty, \quad \sup_{R^{-1} \leqslant y \leqslant R} \frac{k(y,y')}{y'} \to 0 \quad \text{as } y' \to \infty, \quad \text{for all } R. \tag{36}$$

We use a different approach to these papers, which allows us to dispense with any monotonicity condition but requires a different type of growth bound on k for large particles.

As noted by Ball and Carr [4] in the spatially homogeneous setting, the questions of uniqueness and mass conservation for coagulation equations are related to the existence of moment bounds for solutions. Here, the discrete and continuous cases do not differ substantially. Hammond and Rezakhanlou [8] and Rezakhanlou [14] obtained suitable moment bounds for solutions under assumptions including that the diffusivity a is positive, uniformly bounded and non-increasing, and that the coagulation kernel k satisfies

$$\sup_{y,y'} \frac{k(y,y')}{yy'} < \infty, \quad \frac{k(y,y')}{(y+y')(a(y)+a(y'))} \to 0 \quad \text{as } y+y' \to \infty.$$

Rezakhanlou [15] has shown that the non-increasing condition on the diffusivity can be relaxed to some extent. We will retain this non-increasing condition but are able to prove uniqueness and mass conservation also when the diffusivity and coagulation kernels are unbounded for particles of small mass.

The approach taken in this paper is an extension to the spatially inhomogeneous setting of that developed in [12]. A version of Theorem 6.1 for the special case discussed in Section 7 is stated, along with a sketch of elements of the proof, in [13, Section 3].

6 Existence and uniqueness

The notions of solution, strong solution and conservative solution for the coagulation-diffusion equation (2) are defined in Section 2. The notion of Markov solution is defined in Section 4.

Theorem 6.1. *Assume that the diffusivity a and the coagulation kernel K satisfy condition (5) and that $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfies condition (7). Set $\alpha = \langle w^2, \mu_0^* \rangle$. There exists $\zeta(\mu_0) \in [\alpha^{-1}, \infty]$ and a strong solution $(\mu_t)_{t<\zeta(\mu_0)}$ to the coagulation-diffusion equation (2) starting from μ_0 with the following property: if $(\nu_t)_{t<T}$ is any other solution to (2) starting from μ_0, then*

(i) *$T \leq \zeta(\mu_0)$ implies $\nu_t = \mu_t$ for all $t < T$,*

(ii) *$T > \zeta(\mu_0)$ implies $(\nu_t)_{t<T}$ is not strong.*

Moreover,

(iii) *$(\mu_t)_{t<\zeta(\mu_0)}$ is a Markov solution to (2),*

(iv) *if $\|\langle mw, \mu_0 \rangle\|_1 < \infty$, then $(\mu_t)_{t<\zeta(\mu_0)}$ is conservative.*

Suppose further that, for some non-negative measurable function v on E, with v/w bounded,

$$K(y, y', E) \leq w(y)v(y') + v(y)w(y') \tag{37}$$

then

(v) *if $\alpha < \infty$ and $a^{-d/2}wv$ is K-subadditive, then $\zeta(\mu_0) = \infty$.*

The proof of Theorem 6.1 will rely on an approximation scheme, the elements of which are constructed in the following two lemmas. The proofs of the lemmas are given below. Recall that $E_n = \{y \in E : n^{-1} \leq m(y) \leq n\}$. Consider the coagulation kernels

$$K_n(y, y', dz) = 1_{\{z \in E_n\}} K(y, y', dz), \quad \tilde{K}_n(y, y', dz) = 1_{\{z \in E \setminus E_n\}} K(y, y', dz)$$

and define $K_n^{\pm}(\mu)$ and $\tilde{K}_n^{-}(\mu)$ by analogy with (3), (4). Thus, in particular, $K^{-}(\mu) = K_n^{-}(\mu) + \tilde{K}_n^{-}(\mu)$. Set $K_n(\mu) = K_n^{+}(\mu) - K_n^{-}(\mu)$.

Lemma 6.2. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist processes $(\mu_t^n)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(\lambda_t^n)_{t \geq 0}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ such that*

$$\mu_0^n = 1_{E_n} \mu_0, \quad \mu_t^n = 1_{E_n} \mu_t^n, \quad \lambda_0^n = 1_{E_n^c} \mu_0$$

and such that, setting $\eta_t^n = \langle w, \lambda_t^n \rangle$, we have, for all $t \geqslant 0$,

$$\langle w, \mu_t^n \rangle + \eta_t^n = \langle w, P_t \mu_0 \rangle + \int_0^t \langle w, P_{t-s} K_n(\mu_s^n) \rangle ds \quad a.e. \tag{38}$$

and

$$\mu_t^n = P_t\mu_0^n + \int_0^t P_{t-s}(K_n^+(\mu_s^n) - K^-(\mu_s^n) - \eta_s^n w\mu_s^n)ds \tag{39}$$

$$\lambda_t^n = P_t\lambda_0^n + \int_0^t P_{t-s}(\tilde{K}_n^-(\mu_s^n) + \eta_s^n w\mu_s^n)ds. \tag{40}$$

Moreover $(\mu_t^n)_{t\geq 0}$ *satisfies*

$$\mu_t^n = \tilde{P}_{t0}^n\mu_0^n + \int_0^t \tilde{P}_{ts}^n K^+(\mu_s^n)ds, \quad t \geqslant 0$$

where $(\tilde{P}_{ts}^n : 0 \leqslant s \leqslant t)$ *is the propagator on measures on* $\mathbb{R}^d \times E$ *associated to the time-dependent operator* $\frac{1}{2}a(y)\Delta - c_t^n(.,y)$ *on* $\mathbb{R}^d$ *and*

$$c_t^n(x,y) = \int_{E\times E} K(y,y',E)\mu_t^n(x,dy)\mu_t^n(x,dy') + w(y)\eta_t^n(x).$$

The final term in (38) is interpreted by analogy with (11), so is well-defined and non-positive. The inequality obtained by dropping this term

$$\langle w, \mu_t^n\rangle + \eta_t^n \leqslant \langle w, P_t\mu_0\rangle \quad \text{a.e.}$$

ensures that the integrals in equations (39), (40) are well-defined as signed measures of finite total variation on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E$. The new system of equations is designed so that $(\mu_t^n)_{t\geqslant 0}$ should approximate the solution to (2), while $(\lambda_t^n)_{t\geqslant 0}$ allows us to estimate the behaviour of particles of small or large mass. The equations can be interpreted as follows: there are μ-particles and λ-particles; μ-particles all have type in E_n and coagulate as normal to produce new μ-particles, except where the mass of the new particle would be greater than n, when it is designated a λ-particle; λ-particles also act on μ-particles, turning them into λ-particles. All particles diffuse at a speed determined by their type, as before.

Lemma 6.3. *For all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *and all* $t \geq 0$*,*

$$\mu_t^n \leqslant \mu_t^{n+1}, \quad \langle w, \mu_t^n\rangle + \eta_t^n \geqslant \langle w, \mu_t^{n+1}\rangle + \eta_t^{n+1} \quad a.e. \tag{41}$$

Moreover, for all solutions $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ *to* (2) *starting from* μ_0*, for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $t < T$*,*

$$\mu_t^n \leqslant \mu_t, \quad \langle w, \mu_t^n\rangle + \eta_t^n \geqslant \langle w, \mu_t\rangle \quad a.e. \tag{42}$$

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Define a process $(\mu_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ and a process of non-negative measurable functions $(\eta_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ by the monotone limits

$$\mu_t = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_t^n, \quad \eta_t = \lim_{n\to\infty} \eta_t^n \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Note that

$$\langle w, \mu_t\rangle + \eta_t \leqslant \langle w, P_t\mu_0\rangle \leqslant \langle w, \mu_0^*\rangle \quad \text{a.e.}$$

In particular, $\|\langle w, \mu_t\rangle\|_1 \leqslant \|\langle w, \mu_0\rangle\|_1 < \infty$, so $(\mu_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ satisfies (9). Next

$$\begin{aligned}\|\int_0^t \langle 1, P_{t-s}K^-(\mu_s)\rangle ds\|_1 &= \int_0^t \|\langle 1, K^-(\mu_s)\rangle\|_1 ds \\ &\leqslant \int_0^t \|\langle w, \mu_s\rangle^2\|_1 ds \leqslant \|\langle w, \mu_0\rangle\|_1 \langle w, \mu_0^*\rangle t < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

This estimate and other similar estimates allow us to use dominated convergence to pass to the limit in equation (39) to obtain

$$\mu_t + \int_0^t P_{t-s}(K^-(\mu_s) + \eta_s w\mu_s)ds = P_t\mu_0 + \int_0^t P_{t-s}K^+(\mu_s)\, ds.$$

Hence if $\eta_t = 0$ almost everywhere, for all $t < T$, then $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ satisfies (6).

Now suppose that $(\nu_t)_{t<T}$ is any solution to (2) starting from μ_0. By Lemma 6.3, for $t < T$,

$$\mu_t \leqslant \nu_t, \quad \langle w, \mu_t\rangle + \eta_t \leqslant \langle w, \nu_t\rangle, \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Since w is positive, if $\eta_t = 0$ almost everywhere, then $\nu_t = \mu_t$, for all $t < T$. In the case where $(\nu_t)_{t<T}$ is strong we have

$$\int_0^t \|\langle w^2, \mu_s\rangle\|_\infty\, ds \leq \int_0^t \|\langle w^2, \nu_s\rangle\|_\infty ds < \infty, \quad t < T.$$

So we can multiply (40) by w, integrate over E, and pass to the limit $n \to \infty$, using dominated convergence, to obtain

$$\eta_t = \int_0^t \langle w^2, P_{t-s}(\eta_s\mu_s)\rangle ds \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Then

$$\|\eta_t\|_1 = \int_0^t \|\langle w^2, \eta_s\mu_s\rangle\|_1 ds \leq \int_0^t \|\langle w^2, \mu_s\rangle\|_\infty \|\eta_s\|_1 ds.$$

Since $\|\eta_t\|_1$ is non-decreasing in t and finite, this implies $\|\eta_t\|_1 = 0$, so $\eta_t = 0$ almost everywhere, so $\nu_t = \mu_t$, for all $t < T$. Thus, while any strong solution persists, it is the only solution.

We will now show that

$$\int_0^t \|\langle w^2, \mu_s\rangle\|_\infty ds < \infty, \quad t < \alpha^{-1}, \tag{43}$$

which by the preceding argument implies that $(\mu_t)_{t<\alpha^{-1}}$ is a strong solution. Apply P_s to equation (39), multiply by w^2 and integrate over E to obtain, for all $s, t \geq 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\langle w^2, P_s\mu_t^n\rangle \leq \langle w^2, P_{s+t}\mu_0^n\rangle + \int_0^t \langle w^2, P_{s+t-r}K_n(\mu_r^n)\rangle dr \quad \text{a.e.} \tag{44}$$

Set $h_n(t) = \sup_{s\geq 0} \|\langle w^2, P_s\mu_t^n\rangle\|_\infty$. For all $t > 0$ and $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, for $p(y) = p^{t,x,x'}(y) = p(a(y)t, x, x')$, both wp and w are K-subadditive. So, for $K(y, y', .)$-almost all z,

$$w^2(z)p(z) - w^2(y)p(y) - w^2(y')p(y') \leq w(y)p(y)w(y') + w(y)p(y')w(y').$$

Hence, for any v satisfying (37),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle w^2, P_{s+t-r}K_n(\mu_r^n)\rangle(x) \\
&\quad \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times E\times E} w(y)p^{s+t-r,x,x'}(y)w(y')K_n(y, y', E)\mu_r^n(x', dy)\mu_r^n(x', dy')\, dx' \\
&\quad \leq \|\langle w^2 p^{s+t-r,x,.}, \mu_r^n\rangle\langle wv, \mu_r^n\rangle + \langle wvp^{s+t-r,x,.}, \mu_r^n\rangle\langle w^2, \mu_r^n\rangle\|_1 \\
&\quad \leq \|\langle wv, \mu_r^n\rangle\|_\infty\langle w^2, P_{s+t-r}\mu_r^n\rangle(x) + \|\langle w^2, \mu_r^n\rangle\|_\infty\langle wv, P_{s+t-r}\mu_r^n\rangle(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\sup_{s\geq 0} \|\langle w^2, P_{s+t}\mu_0\rangle\|_\infty \leq \langle w^2, \mu_0^*\rangle = \alpha.$$

On taking $v = w/2$ we obtain

$$h_n(t) \leq \alpha + \int_0^t h_n(r)^2 dr$$

which implies $h_n(t) \leq (T - t)^{-1}$ for $t < T \equiv \alpha^{-1}$. This bound is independent of n, so we obtain (43) on letting $n \to \infty$.

On the other hand, suppose that $0 \leq v \leq Cw$ and that the function $a^{-d/2}wv$ is K-subadditive. We can replace w^2 by vw in (44). The final term is then non-positive, as at (11), so we obtain

$$\|\langle wv, P_s\mu_t^n\rangle\|_\infty \leq \|\langle wv, P_{s+t}\mu_0^n\rangle\|_\infty \leq C\|\langle w^2, P_{s+t}\mu_0^n\rangle\|_\infty \leq C\alpha.$$

Hence

$$h_n(t) \leq \alpha + 2C\alpha \int_0^t h_n(r)\, dr.$$

which implies $h_n(t) \leq \alpha e^{2C\alpha t}$ for all $t \geq 0$. This bound is independent of n, so, if $\alpha < \infty$, then $\zeta(\mu_0) = \infty$.

To prove (iii), note that, by Lemma 6.3, $c_t^n(x,y) \downarrow c_t(x,y)$ for almost all x, for all y and $t < T$, so $\tilde{P}_{ts}^n \uparrow P_{ts}^\mu$ for all $s \leqslant t < T$. From Lemma 6.2, we have

$$\mu_t^n = \tilde{P}_{t0}^n \mu_0^n + \int_0^t \tilde{P}_{ts}^n K^+(\mu_s^n) ds, \quad t \geqslant 0.$$

So we can let $n \to \infty$ to obtain

$$\mu_t = P_{t0}^\mu \mu_0 + \int_0^t P_{ts}^\mu K^+(\mu_s) ds, \quad t < T.$$

It remains to prove (iv). Set $m_R(y) = m(y) 1_{m(y) \leq R}$. Then, for $t < \zeta(\mu_0)$,

$$\|\langle m_R, \mu_t \rangle\|_1 = \|\langle m_R, \mu_0 \rangle\|_1 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times E \times E} l_R(y,y') K(y,y',E) \mu_s(x,dy) \mu_s(x,dy') dx ds \quad (45)$$

where

$$l_R(y,y') = (m(y) + m(y')) 1_{m(y)+m(y') \leq R} - m_R(y) - m_R(y').$$

Now $l_R(y,y') \to 0$ as $R \to \infty$ and

$$|l_R(y,y')| K(y,y',E) \leq (m(y) + m(y')) w(y) w(y').$$

So, if we can show

$$\int_0^t \|\langle mw, \mu_s \rangle \langle w, \mu_s \rangle\|_1 ds < \infty \quad (46)$$

then, by dominated convergence, the last term in (45) tends to 0 as $R \to \infty$. Hence $\|\langle m, \mu_t \rangle\|_1 = \|\langle m, \mu_0 \rangle\|_1$ for all $t < \zeta(\mu_0)$ as required.

Note that

$$\|\langle mw, \mu_t^n \rangle\|_1 \leqslant \|\langle mw, \mu_0^n \rangle\|_1 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle mw, K_n(\mu_s^n) \rangle(x) dx ds.$$

Both m and w are K-subadditive, so for $K(y,y',.)$-almost all z,

$$(mw)(z) - (mw)(y) - (mw)(y') \leqslant m(y) w(y') + m(y') w(y).$$

Hence

$$\langle mw, K_n(\mu_s^n) \rangle \leqslant \langle w^2, \mu_s^n \rangle \langle mw, \mu_s^n \rangle.$$

Set $k_n(t) = \|\langle mw, \mu_t^n\rangle\|_1$. Then $k_n(0) = \|\langle mw, \mu_0\rangle\|_1 < \infty$, $k_n(t) < \infty$ for all n and t, and, for $s \leqslant t < \zeta(\mu_0)$,

$$k_n(t) \leqslant k_n(0) + \int_0^s \|\langle w^2, \mu_r\rangle\|_\infty k_n(r)dr.$$

Hence, using Gronwall's lemma and then letting $n \to \infty$ we obtain

$$\sup_{s\leqslant t} \|\langle mw, \mu_t\rangle\|_1 < \infty$$

□

Proof of Lemma 6.2. It will be convenient to assume that $\langle w, \mu_0^*\rangle = 1$. The general case then follows by a scaling argument.

Consider the vector space $\mathcal{V}_\infty$ of signed measures $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E$ such that $\mu^\pm \in \mathcal{M}$ and

$$\|\mu\|_\infty = \||\mu|(., E)\|_\infty < \infty.$$

Here $|\mu| = \mu^+ + \mu^-$ is the total variation measure of μ, $(|\mu|(x, A) : x \in \mathbb{R}^d, A \in \mathcal{E})$ is (a version of) the kernel for $|\mu|$ with respect to Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and $\|.\|_\infty$ (on the right) is the L^∞-norm on measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then $\mathcal{V}_\infty$ is complete in the given norm. Given $\mu \in \mathcal{V}_\infty$ and a (suitably integrable) measurable function f on E, we obtain another signed measure $f\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E$ by multiplication. We write $\langle f, \mu\rangle$ for the measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ given by

$$\langle f, \mu\rangle(x) = \int_E f(y)\mu(x, dy).$$

Thus $\langle f, \mu\rangle$ is determined only almost everywhere on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and we have

$$(f\mu)(B \times E) = \int_B \langle f, \mu\rangle(x)dx, \quad \|f\mu\|_\infty = \|\langle |f|, |\mu|\rangle\|_\infty.$$

Extend $K_n^\pm, K_n, \tilde{K}_n^-$ and P_t to $\mathcal{V}_\infty$ in the obvious way. Note that, for $\mu, \mu' \in \mathcal{V}_\infty$ with $w\mu, w\mu' \in \mathcal{V}_\infty$, we have $K^-(\mu), K^-(\mu') \in \mathcal{V}_\infty$ and

$$\|K_n^-(\mu)\|_\infty \leqslant \|w\mu\|_\infty^2$$

and

$$\|K_n^-(\mu) - K_n^-(\mu')\|_\infty \leqslant \|w(\mu - \mu')\|_\infty \|w(\mu + \mu')\|_\infty.$$

Similar estimates hold for K_n^+, K_n and $\tilde{K}_n^-$. Write C for a finite constant, depending only on a, w and n, whose value may vary from line to line. Note that, if $\mu \in \mathcal{V}_\infty$ is supported on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E_n$, then $w\mu \in \mathcal{V}_\infty$ and

$$\|w\mu\|_\infty \leqslant C\|\mu\|_\infty, \quad \|P_t\mu\|_\infty \leqslant C\|\mu\|_\infty$$

and moreover the signed measures $K_n^{\pm}(\mu)$ and $\tilde{K}_n^{-}(\mu)$ are also supported on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E_n$.

Set $\mu_0^n = 1_{E_n}\mu_0$ and $\lambda_0^n = 1_{E_n^c}\mu_0$. We can define a sequence of measurable maps

$$t \mapsto (\mu_t^{n,k}, \lambda_t^{n,k}) : [0,\infty) \to \mathcal{V}_\infty \times \mathcal{V}_\infty, \quad k \geqslant 0$$

with $\mu_t^{n,k}$ supported on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E_n$ for all k and t, by setting $\mu_t^{n,0} = P_t\mu_0^n$ and $\lambda_t^{n,0} = P_t\lambda_0^n$, and then recursively setting $\eta_t^{n,k} = \langle w, \lambda_t^{n,k}\rangle$ and

$$\mu_t^{n,k+1} = P_t\mu_0^n + \int_0^t P_{t-s}(K_n^+(\mu_s^{n,k}) - K^-(\mu_s^{n,k}) - \eta_s^{n,k} w\mu_s^{n,k})ds$$
$$\lambda_t^{n,k+1} = P_t\lambda_0^n + \int_0^t P_{t-s}(\tilde{K}_n^-(\mu_s^{n,k}) + \eta_s^{n,k} w\mu_s^{n,k})ds.$$

Fix n and set

$$f_k(t) = \|w\mu_t^{n,k}\|_\infty + \|w\lambda_t^{n,k}\|_\infty.$$

Then $f_0(t) = \|\langle w, P_t\mu_0\rangle\|_\infty \leq \langle w, \mu_0^*\rangle = 1$ and, for $k \geq 0$,

$$f_{k+1}(t) \leqslant 1 + C\int_0^t f_k(s)^2 ds, \quad t \geqslant 0.$$

Hence $f_k(t) \leq (1-Ct)^{-1}$ for $t < C^{-1}$. Set $T = (2C)^{-1}$ then $f_k(t) \leq 2C$ for $t \leq T$. Next, set $g_0(t) = f_0(t)$ and, for $k \geq 0$,

$$g_{k+1}(t) = \|w(\mu_t^{n,k+1} - \mu_t^{n,k})\|_\infty + \|w(\lambda_t^{n,k+1} - \lambda_t^{n,k})\|_\infty.$$

Then, for $t \leq T$ and $k \geq 0$,

$$g_{k+1}(t) \leq C\int_0^t g_k(s)\, ds.$$

Hence, by a standard argument, $(w\mu_t^{n,k}, w\lambda_t^{n,k})$ converges in $\mathcal{V}_\infty \times \mathcal{V}_\infty$ as $k \to \infty$, uniformly in $t \leq T$. The limit $(w\mu_t^n, w\lambda_t^n)_{t\leqslant T}$ is a measurable map $[0,T] \to \mathcal{V}_\infty \times \mathcal{V}_\infty$, with μ_t^n supported on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E_n$ for all t, such that $\|w\mu_t^n\|_\infty + \|w\lambda_t^n\|_\infty \leqslant 2C$ and, setting $\eta_s^n = \langle w, \lambda_s^n\rangle$,

$$\mu_t^n = P_t\mu_0^n + \int_0^t P_{t-s}(K_n^+(\mu_s^n) - K^-(\mu_s^n) - \eta_s^n w\mu_s^n)ds \tag{47}$$
$$\lambda_t^n = P_t\lambda_0^n + \int_0^t P_{t-s}(\tilde{K}_n^-(\mu_s^n) + \eta_s^n w\mu_s^n)ds. \tag{48}$$

On disintegrating these equations with respect to Lebesgue measure, adding them together, multiplying by w and integrating over E, we obtain (38).

For $u > 0$, if we act first on (47) and (48) by P_u, we obtain instead

$$\langle w, P_u(\mu_t^n + \lambda_t^n)\rangle = \langle w, P_{u+t}\mu_0\rangle + \int_0^t \langle w, P_{u+t-s}K_n(\mu_s^n)\rangle ds. \tag{49}$$

Assume for now that μ_t^n and λ_t^n are non-negative for $t \leqslant T$. Then, the last term in (49) is non-positive, as in (11). In particular, if $\tilde{\mu}_0^n = \mu_T^n$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_0^n = \lambda_T^n$, then

$$\tilde{f}_0(t) := \|wP_t\tilde{\mu}_0^n\|_\infty + \|wP_t\tilde{\lambda}_0^n\|_\infty = \|\langle w, P_t(\mu_T^n + \lambda_T^n)\rangle\|_\infty \leq \|\langle w, P_{t+T}\mu_0\rangle\|_\infty \leq 1.$$

The construction we have just made can therefore be applied with $\tilde{\mu}_0^n, \tilde{\lambda}_0^n$ in place of μ_0^n, λ_0^n to obtain $(\tilde{\mu}_t^n, \tilde{\lambda}_t^n)_{t\leq T}$. Then, setting $\mu_{T+t}^n = \tilde{\mu}_t^n$ and $\lambda_{T+t}^n = \tilde{\lambda}_t^n$ for $t \leq T$, $(\mu_t^n, \lambda_t^n)_{t\leq 2T}$ satisfies (47), (48). By repeated extension we obtain a long-time solution.

It remains to show that μ_t^n and λ_t^n are non-negative for $t \leqslant T$. Define c_t^n and $\tilde{P}_{ts}^n$ for $s \leqslant t \leqslant T$ as in the statement. Note that, for all $y \in E_n$ and all $t \leqslant T$, we have

$$|c_t^n(x,y)| \leqslant w(y)\langle w, |\mu_t^n| + |\lambda_t^n|\rangle(x) \leqslant 2C\|w1_{E_n}\|_\infty, \quad \text{a.e.}$$

If ν is supported on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E_n$, then we have

$$\|\tilde{P}_{ts}^n\nu\|_\infty \leqslant C\|\nu\|_\infty.$$

Set $\tilde{\mu}_t^{n,0} = \tilde{P}_{t0}^n\mu_0^n$ and define for $k \geq 0$

$$\tilde{\mu}_t^{n,k+1} = \tilde{P}_{t0}^n\mu_0^n + \int_0^t \tilde{P}_{ts}^n K_n^+(\tilde{\mu}_s^{n,k})ds.$$

The arguments used above show, possibly for some smaller value of T, but independent of μ_0^n and λ_0^n, that $\tilde{\mu}_t^{n,k}$ converges in $\mathcal{V}_\infty$, uniformly in $t \leq T$. The limit $(\tilde{\mu}_t^n)_{t\leqslant T}$ is a process in $\mathcal{V}_\infty$ with $\tilde{\mu}_t^n$ supported on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E_n$ for $t \leqslant T$, such that

$$\tilde{\mu}_t^n = \tilde{P}_{t0}^n\mu_0^n + \int_0^t \tilde{P}_{ts}^n K_n^+(\tilde{\mu}_s^n)ds, \quad t \leqslant T. \tag{50}$$

By induction, we see that $\tilde{\mu}_t^{n,k} \geqslant 0$ for all k, so $\tilde{\mu}_t^n \geqslant 0$. Apply Proposition 3.1 with $g_t = -c_t^n$ and $\alpha_t = K_n^+(\tilde{\mu}_t^n)$ to see that

$$\tilde{\mu}_t^n = P_t\mu_0^n + \int_0^t P_{t-s}(K_n^+(\tilde{\mu}_s^n) - c_s^n\tilde{\mu}_s^n)ds, \quad t \leqslant T. \tag{51}$$

Now $c_t^n\mu_t^n = K^-(\mu_t^n) + \eta_t^n w\mu_t^n$, so (51) is also satisfied by $(\mu_t^n)_{t\leqslant T}$. The estimates we already have for K_n^+ and c_t^n allow us to prove uniqueness for (51). Hence $\mu_t^n = \tilde{\mu}_t^n \geqslant 0$ for all $t \leqslant T$. A similar argument shows that $\lambda_t^n \geqslant 0$ for all $t \leqslant T$. □

Proof of Lemma 6.3. Recall that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\mu_t^n = P_t\mu_0^n + \int_0^t P_{t-s}(K_n^+(\mu_s^n) - c_s^n\mu_s^n)ds, \quad t \geqslant 0 \tag{52}$$

and

$$\mu_t^{n+1} = P_t\mu_0^{n+1} + \int_0^t P_{t-s}(K_{n+1}^+(\mu_s^n) - c_s^{n+1}\mu_s^{n+1})ds, \quad t \geqslant 0 \tag{53}$$

and

$$\mu_t = P_t\mu_0 + \int_0^t P_{t-s}(K^+(\mu_s) - c_s\mu_s)ds, \quad t < T \tag{54}$$

where

$$c_s(x,y) = \int_E K(y,y',E)\mu_s(x,dy').$$

Set $\pi_t^n = 1_{E_n}\mu_t^{n+1} - \mu_t^n$ and $\pi_t = 1_{E_n}\mu_t - \mu_t^n$, and note that $\pi_0^n = \pi_0 = 0$. Set

$$\rho_t^n = \int_0^t \langle w, P_{t-s}(K_n(\mu_s^n) - K_{n+1}(\mu_s^{n+1}))\rangle ds, \quad \rho_t = \int_0^t \langle w, P_{t-s}(K_n(\mu_s^n) - K(\mu_s))\rangle ds \tag{55}$$

and set $\chi_t = \langle w, \mu_t^n\rangle + \eta_t^n - \langle w, \mu_t\rangle$. By (38) and (12) we have

$$\rho_t^n = \langle w, \mu_t^n\rangle + \eta_t^n - \langle w, \mu_t^{n+1}\rangle - \eta_t^{n+1}, \quad \rho_t \leqslant \chi_t, \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Now, for all $y \in E$ and all t,

$$(c_t^n - c_t^{n+1})(x,y) = \int_E (w(y)w(y') - K(y,y',E))\pi_t^n(x,dy) + w(y)\rho_t^n(x) + \gamma_t^n(x,y), \quad \text{a.e.}$$
$$(c_t^n - c_t)(x,y) = \int_E (w(y)w(y') - K(y,y',E))\pi_t(x,dy) + w(y)\rho_t(x) + \gamma_t(x,y), \quad \text{a.e.}$$

where

$$\gamma_t^n(x,y) = \int_E (w(y)w(y') - K(y,y',E))1_{E\setminus E_n}(y')\mu_t^{n+1}(x,dy')$$
$$\gamma_t(x,y) = \int_E (w(y)w(y') - K(y,y',E))1_{E\setminus E_n}(y')\mu_t(x,dy') + w(y)(\chi_t - \rho_t)(x).$$

Note that $0 \leqslant \gamma_t^n(x,y) \leqslant Cw(y)$ and $0 \leqslant \gamma_t(x,y) \leqslant Cw(y)$ for all $y \in E$, for almost all x. Multiply equations (53) and (54) by 1_{E_n} and subtract equation (52) to obtain

$$\pi_t^n = \int_0^t P_{t-s}(A_s^n(\pi_s^n, \rho_s^n) - c_s^n\pi_s^n + \alpha_s^n)ds, \quad \pi_t = \int_0^t P_{t-s}(A_s(\pi_s, \rho_s) - c_s^n\pi_s + \alpha_s)ds$$

where, writing $K_n^+(.,.)$ for the polarization of K_n^+, for $\pi \in \mathcal{V}_\infty$ and $\rho \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$A_s^n(\pi,\rho) = K_n^+(\pi, \mu_s^{n+1} + \mu_s^n) + 1_{E_n}\left(\int_E (w(\cdot)w(y') - K(\cdot,y',E))\pi(\cdot,dy') + w\rho\right)\mu_s^{n+1},$$
$$A_s(\pi,\rho) = K_n^+(\pi, \mu_s + \mu_s^n) + 1_{E_n}\left(\int_E (w(\cdot)w(y') - K(\cdot,y',E))\pi(\cdot,dy') + w\rho\right)\mu_s$$

and

$$\alpha_s^n = K_n^+(1_{E\setminus E_n}\mu_s^{n+1}, \mu_s^{n+1} + \mu_s^n) + 1_{E_n}\gamma_s^n\mu_s^{n+1}, \quad \alpha_s = K_n^+(1_{E\setminus E_n}\mu_s, \mu_s + \mu_s^n) + 1_{E_n}\gamma_s\mu_s.$$

Note that $\alpha_s^n \geq 0$, $\alpha_s \geq 0$ and $\|\alpha_s^n\|_\infty \leq C$, $\|\alpha_s\|_\infty \leq C$. Also, if π and ρ are non-negative and π is supported on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E_n$, then $A_s^n(\pi,\rho) \geq 0$ and $A_s(\pi,\rho) \geq 0$, and

$$\|A_s^n(\pi,\rho)\|_\infty \leqslant C(\|\pi\|_\infty + \|\rho\|_\infty), \quad \|A_s(\pi,\rho)\|_\infty \leqslant C(\|\pi\|_\infty + \|\rho\|_\infty).$$

We can rewrite the equations (55) in the form

$$\rho_t^n = \int_0^t \langle w, P_{t-s}(B_s^n(\pi_s^n) + \beta_s^n)\rangle ds, \quad \rho_t = \int_0^t \langle w, P_{t-s}(B_s(\pi_s) + \beta_s)\rangle ds,$$

where

$$B_s^n(\pi) = -K_n(\pi, \mu_s^n + \mu_s^{n+1}), \quad B_s(\pi) = -K_n(\pi, \mu_s^n + \mu_s)$$

and

$$\beta_s^n = -(K_{n+1} - K_n)(\mu_s^{n+1}) - K_n(1_{E\setminus E_n}\mu_s^{n+1}, \mu_s^n + \mu_s^{n+1}),$$
$$\beta_s = -(K - K_n)(\mu_s) - K_n(1_{E\setminus E_n}\mu_s, \mu_s^n + \mu_s).$$

Since $\tilde{w}^{t-s,x,x'}$ is K-subadditive (see (11)), we have

$$\langle w, P_{t-s}\beta_s^n\rangle \geq 0, \quad \langle w, P_{t-s}\beta_s\rangle \geq 0$$

and, for $\pi \geq 0$,

$$\langle w, P_{t-s}B_s^n(\pi)\rangle \geq 0, \quad \langle w, P_{t-s}B_s(\pi)\rangle \geq 0.$$

Note that

$$\|\langle w, P_{t-s}\beta_s^n\rangle\|_\infty \leqslant C, \quad \|\langle w, P_{t-s}(\beta_s + K(\mu_s))\rangle\|_\infty \leqslant C$$

and

$$\|\int_0^t \langle w, P_{t-s}K(\mu_s)\rangle ds\|_\infty \leq \|\langle w, P_t\mu_0\rangle\|_\infty \leq C.$$

From this point on we pursue only the proof of (42). The argument for (41) is the same. Define, recursively for $k \geqslant 0$, a process of measures $(\tilde{\pi}_t^k)_{t<T}$ supported on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E_n$ and a

process of non-negative measurable functions $(\tilde{\rho}^k_t)_{t<T}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by setting $\tilde{\pi}^0_t = 0$, $\tilde{\rho}^0_t = 0$ and then

$$\tilde{\pi}^{k+1}_t = \int_0^t \tilde{P}^n_{ts}(A_s(\tilde{\pi}^k_s, \tilde{\rho}^k_s) + \alpha_s)ds, \quad \tilde{\rho}^{k+1}_t = \int_0^t \langle w, P_{t-s}(B_s(\tilde{\pi}^k_s) + \beta_s)\rangle ds.$$

The estimates obtained allow us to show that $(\tilde{\pi}^k_t, \tilde{\rho}^k_t)_{t<T}$ converges in $\mathcal{V}_\infty \times L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, uniformly on compacts in t, and that the limit $(\tilde{\pi}_t, \tilde{\rho}_t)_{t<T}$ is a measurable map

$$t \mapsto (\tilde{\pi}_t, \tilde{\rho}_t) : [0, T) \to \mathcal{V}_\infty \times L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

with $\tilde{\pi}_t$ supported on E_n for all t, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\pi}_t &= \int_0^t \tilde{P}^n_{ts}(A_s(\tilde{\pi}_s, \tilde{\rho}_s) + \alpha_s)ds, \\ \tilde{\rho}_t &= \int_0^t \langle w, P_{t-s}(B_s(\tilde{\pi}_s) + \beta_s)\rangle ds. \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

Apply Proposition 3.1 with $g_t = -c^n_t$ and 'α_t'$= A_t(\tilde{\pi}_t, \tilde{\rho}_t) + \alpha_t$ to see that

$$\tilde{\pi}_t = \int_0^t P_{t-s}(A_s(\tilde{\pi}_s, \tilde{\rho}_s) - c^n_s\tilde{\pi}_s + \alpha_s)ds. \tag{57}$$

But $(\pi_t, \rho_t)_{t<T}$ also satisfies the equations (56),(57) and our estimates allow us to show these equations have only one solution. Hence $\pi_t = \tilde{\pi}_t \geq 0$ and $\rho_t = \tilde{\rho}_t \geq 0$ almost everywhere, for all $t < T$, which implies (42). □

We finish this section with a result which allows us to recover function solutions in the case $E = (0, \infty)$, whenever the initial mass distribution is either discrete or absolutely continuous. Say that a measure μ on E has *integer mass distribution* if it is supported on the set $m^{-1}(\mathbb{N})$. Say that μ has *absolutely continuous mass distribution* if there is a kernel ρ on $(0, \infty) \times \mathcal{E}$ such that, $m(y) = m$ for $\rho(m, \cdot)$-almost all y, for all $m \in (0, \infty)$, and such that

$$\mu(dy) = \int_{(0,\infty)} \rho(m, dy)dm$$

where dm denotes Lebesgue measure. Extend these notions in the obvious way to measures on $\mathbb{R}^d \times E$ and to processes of such measures.

Given a suitable process $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ in $\mathcal{M}$, we defined the propagator $(P^\mu_{ts} : 0 \leqslant s \leqslant t < T)$ just before (28). Consider now the equation

$$\nu_t = P^\mu_{t0}\mu_0 + \int_0^t P^\mu_{ts}K^+(\nu_s)ds, \quad t < T \tag{58}$$

for a process $(\nu_t)_{t<T}$ in $\mathcal{M}$. This has a minimal non-negative solution, given by $\nu_t = \lim_{k\to\infty} \nu_t^k$, where we set $\nu_t^0 = P_{t0}^\mu \mu_0$ and define recursively, for $k \geqslant 0$,

$$\nu_t^{k+1} = P_{t0}^\mu \mu_0 + \int_0^t P_{ts}^\mu K^+(\nu_s^k) ds, \quad t < T.$$

Theorem 6.4. *Assume that the diffusivity a and the coagulation kernel K satisfy condition* (5) *and that the initial measure μ_0 satisfies condition* (7)*. Set $T = \zeta(\mu_0)$ and let $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ be the maximal strong solution to* (2) *starting from μ_0, as in Theorem 6.1. Then $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ is also the minimal non-negative solution to* (58)*. Moreover, if μ_0 has integer mass distribution, then so does $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$. Further, if μ_0 has absolutely continuous mass distribution, then so does $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$.*

Proof. We know that $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$ satisfies (58) by Theorem 6.1 (iii). We have $\nu_t^0 = P_{t0}^\mu \mu_0 \leqslant \mu_t$ for all t. By induction, $\nu_t^k \leqslant \mu_t$ for all k, so $\nu_t \leqslant \mu_t$ for all t. Fix n and define an increasing sequence of processes $(\nu_t^{n,k})_{t\geqslant 0}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ by setting $\nu_t^{n,0} = \tilde{P}_{t0}^n \mu_0^n$ for all t and then for $k \geqslant 0$

$$\nu_t^{n,k+1} = \tilde{P}_{t0}^n \mu_0^n + \int_0^t \tilde{P}_{ts}^n K^+(\nu_s^{n,k}) ds, \quad t \geqslant 0.$$

Set $\nu_t^{n,\infty} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \nu_t^{k,n}$. Then $(\nu_t^{n,\infty})_{t\geqslant 0}$ satisfies (50), so $\nu_t^{n,\infty} = \mu_t^n$ for all n and t. Now, for $0 \leqslant s < t < T$, we have $\tilde{P}_{ts}^n \leqslant P_{ts}^\mu$ and $\mu_t^n \uparrow \mu_t$. Hence $\nu_t^{n,k} \leqslant \nu_t^k$ for all n and k and so $\nu_t^k \uparrow \mu_t$ as $k \to \infty$ for all $t < T$.

It is easy to see by induction that, if μ_0 has integer mass distribution, then this property is inherited by $(\nu_t^k)_{t<T}$ for all k, and hence by $(\mu_t)_{t<T}$. The same holds for the property of absolutely continuous mass distribution. □

7 Einstein–Smoluchowski coagulation

Einstein [6] derived a formula for the diffusivity D (here meaning $a/2$) of a spherical particle of radius r, suspended in a fluid of viscosity η

$$D = \frac{kT}{6\pi\eta r}$$

where k is Boltzmann's constant and T is the temperature. Smoluchowski [16] considered a population of such particles, subject to coagulation on collision. He argued that this would result in a rate for coagulation between particles of radius r and r_* given by

$$4\pi(D + D_*)(r + r_*).$$

Here, of course $d = 3$. In our notation, after choosing suitable units, this leads to the case

$$a(y) = y^{-1/3}, \quad K(y, y_*) = (y^{-1/3} + y_*^{-1/3})(y^{1/3} + y_*^{1/3}).$$

Hammond and Rezakhanlou [7] (also Yaghouti, Rezakhanlou and Hammond [20]) derived the Smoluchowski kernel rigorously, starting from a system Brownian particles, moving independently, except for random coalescence events on the Boltzmann–Grad scale. This is a case then where the coagulation-diffusion equation (2) is of some interest. Mischler and Rodriguez Ricard's existence result [11] applies here, for the variant model with diffusion reflected in a smoothly bounded domain. Uniqueness holds by Rezakhanlou [14], provided we impose a positive lower cut-off on particle mass.

We can recover existence, uniqueness and mass conservation, in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^3$, without lower mass cut-off. To see this, take $E = (0, \infty)$ and consider the sublinear function $\phi(y) = y^{1/6} + y^{5/6}$. Then $w(y) = a(y)^{d/2}\phi(y) = y^{-1/3} + y^{1/3} \geqslant 1$ and $K(y, y') \leqslant w(y)w(y')$, so condition (5) holds. Moreover, if we take $v(y) = 2y^{-1/3}$, then v/w is bounded, $a^{-3/2}vw$ is subadditive, and condition (37) holds. So we can apply Theorem 6.1, provided we impose on the initial measure $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ the conditions $\mu_0(dx, dy) \leqslant dx \otimes \mu_0^*(dy)$ and

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (y^{-1/3} + y^{1/3})\mu_0(dx, dy) < \infty, \quad \int_0^\infty (y^{-2/3} + y^{2/3})\mu_0^*(dy) < \infty$$

for some measure μ_0^*. Then there is a unique (global) strong solution to the coagulation-diffusion equation (2). Moreover, if further

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} y^{4/3}\mu_0(dx, dy) < \infty$$

then the total mass $\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} y\mu_t(dx, dy)$ is conserved for all t.

References

[1] Herbert Amann. Coagulation-fragmentation processes. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 151(4):339–366, 2000.

[2] Herbert Amann and Christoph Walker. Local and global strong solutions to continuous coagulation-fragmentation equations with diffusion. *J. Differential Equations*, 218(1):159–186, 2005.

[3] I. F. Bailleul. Spatial coagulation with bounded coagulation rate. *J. Evol. Equ.*, 11(3):675–686, 2011.

[4] J. M. Ball and J. Carr. The discrete coagulation-fragmentation equations: existence, uniqueness, and density conservation. *J. Statist. Phys.*, 61(1-2):203–234, 1990.

[5] J. A. Cañizo, L. Desvillettes, and K. Fellner. Regularity and mass conservation for discrete coagulation-fragmentation equations with diffusion. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 27(2):639–654, 2010.

[6] A. Einstein. Über die von der molekularkinetischen theorie der wärme geforderte bewegung von in ruhenden flüssigkeiten suspendierten teilchen. *Annalen der Physik*, 17:549–560, 1905.

[7] Alan Hammond and Fraydoun Rezakhanlou. The kinetic limit of a system of coagulating Brownian particles. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 185(1):1–67, 2007.

[8] Alan Hammond and Fraydoun Rezakhanlou. Moment bounds for the Smoluchowski equation and their consequences. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 276(3):645–670, 2007.

[9] Philippe Laurençot and Stéphane Mischler. The continuous coagulation-fragmentation equations with diffusion. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 162(1):45–99, 2002.

[10] Philippe Laurençot and Stéphane Mischler. Global existence for the discrete diffusive coagulation-fragmentation equations in L^1. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, 18(3):731–745, 2002.

[11] Stéphane Mischler and Mariano Rodriguez Ricard. Existence globale pour l'équation de Smoluchowski continue non homogène et comportement asymptotique des solutions. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 336(5):407–412, 2003.

[12] J. R. Norris. Cluster coagulation. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 209(2):407–435, 2000.

[13] J. R. Norris. Notes on Brownian coagulation. *Markov Process. Related Fields*, 12(2):407–412, 2006.

[14] Fraydoun Rezakhanlou. Moment bounds for the solutions of the Smoluchowski equation with coagulation and fragmentation. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 140(5):1041–1059, 2010.

[15] Fraydoun Rezakhanlou. Pointwise bounds for the solutions of the Smoluchowski equation with diffusion. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 212(3):1011–1035, 2014.

[16] M. van Smoluchowski. Drei Vorträge über Diffusion, Brownsche Bewegung und Koagulation von Kolloidteilchen. *Physikalische Zeitschrift*, 17:557–599, 1916.

[17] Dariusz Wrzosek. Existence of solutions for the discrete coagulation-fragmentation model with diffusion. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, 9(2):279–296, 1997.

[18] Dariusz Wrzosek. Mass-conserving solutions to the discrete coagulation-fragmentation model with diffusion. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 49(3, Ser. A: Theory Methods):297–314, 2002.

[19] Dariusz Wrzosek. Weak solutions to the Cauchy problem for the diffusive discrete coagulation-fragmentation system. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 289(2):405–418, 2004.

[20] Mohammad Reza Yaghouti, Fraydoun Rezakhanlou, and Alan Hammond. Coagulation, diffusion and the continuous Smoluchowski equation. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 119(9):3042–3080, 2009.